		(Original Signature of Member)
118TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION	H.R.	

To amend the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 to decrease the frequency of standardized tests administered to students in grades 3 through 12, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr.	Bowman introduced	the	following	bill;	which	was	referred	to	the
	Committee on								

A BILL

To amend the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 to decrease the frequency of standardized tests administered to students in grades 3 through 12, and for other purposes.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "More Teaching Less
- 5 Testing Act of 2023".
- 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
- 7 Congress finds the following:

1	(1) An overwhelming body of research evidence
2	demonstrates that—
3	(A) standardized testing scores are highly
4	correlated with the socioeconomic status of a
5	student; and
6	(B) test-based accountability has not im-
7	proved equity in public schools.
8	(2) According to the National Education Asso-
9	ciation, there is a nationwide shortage of 300,000
10	teachers and school staff.
11	(3) According to the 2022 report of the Amer-
12	ican Federation of Teachers Teacher and School
13	Staff Shortage Task Force titled "Here Today,
14	Gone Tomorrow?", reducing the frequency of stand-
15	ardized testing and empowering teachers to use au-
16	thentic assessments that measure what students
17	know and can do is a critical component to address-
18	ing the nationwide teacher shortage.
19	(4) Research published by the National Acad-
20	emies Press shows that summative assessments are
21	appropriate for curriculum and in-school account-
22	ability but are not appropriate when used as a domi-
23	nant factor in making high-stakes decisions and can
24	undermine the quality of education and equality of
25	opportunity.

1	(5) The peer-reviewed Research in Middle Level
2	Education Journal published a study in 2017 show-
3	ing that state standardized test results are strongly
4	influenced by non-school factors and that standard-
5	ized test results cannot capture the complexities of
6	the influence of middle level administrators may
7	have on the lives of students. Nevertheless, the use
8	of state standardized test results drove school ad-
9	ministrator evaluations in more than 40 states.
10	(6) More than 1,185 accredited, four-year col-
11	leges and universities removed ACT and SAT test
12	score requirements for prospective applicants for the
13	Fall 2022 semester.
14	(7) According to a sum of mean science, read-
15	ing, and mathematics scores from the OECD Pro-
16	gramme for International Student Assessment (in
17	this Act referred to as "PISA") results for 2018.
18	the United States ranked 22nd out of participating
19	countries. In mathematics, the United States ranked
20	36th out of the 79 participating countries and re-
21	gions, which was below the international average.
22	Throughout the high-stakes accountability era
23	standardized test scores in the United States have
24	remained flat.

1	(8) Even before the COVID-19 pandemic
2	began, progress had stalled on the main measure of
3	academic well-being in the United States, the Na-
4	tional Assessment of Educational Progress (in this
5	Act referred to as "NAEP"). According to the 2019
6	NAEP, the average performance of American
7	fourth-and eighth-graders in math and reading has
8	declined since the 2017 NAEP.
9	(9) According to the National Center for Edu-
10	cation Statistics, students demonstrating the lowest
11	performance in reading have made no progress since
12	the first NAEP was conducted almost 30 years ago.
13	(10) According to the 2015 survey from the
14	Council of the Great City Schools titled "Student
15	Testing in America's Great City Schools: An Inven-
16	tory and Preliminary Analysis", there is no correla-
17	tion between the amount of mandated testing time
18	and the reading and mathematics scores in grades
19	four and eight on the NAEP.
20	(11) In the same survey from the Council of the
21	Great City Schools, 39 percent of school districts re-
22	ported having to wait between two and four months
23	before final State test results were available at the
24	school level, minimizing the utility of these tests for
25	instructional purposes.

1	(12) In 2015, a typical American student took
2	112 mandated standardized tests across the length
3	of their elementary and secondary education years,
4	including both federally mandated summative assess-
5	ments and non-federally mandated summative as-
6	sessments. Countries that outperform the United
7	States on the PISA only test their students three
8	times throughout the kindergarten through twelfth-
9	grade years.
10	(13) Research has shown that current testing
11	volumes narrow curriculum to the tested topics, par-
12	ticularly when exams are high stakes. Other impor-
13	tant subjects, such as art, music, finance, foreign
14	languages, social studies, civics, and physical edu-
15	cation are downplayed or ignored.
16	(14) Studies show that standardized testing
17	leads to the narrowing of instruction and classroom
18	curriculum even within assessed subjects.
19	(15) The negative impact of standardized test-
20	ing extends to students, their families, and the eco-
21	nomic well-being of their communities. The mass
22	availability of school ratings based on standardized
23	test scores has accelerated divergence in housing val-
24	ues, income distributions, and education levels as

1	well as the racial and ethnic composition across com-
2	munities.
3	(16) African-Americans, especially boys, are
4	disproportionately placed or misplaced in special
5	education, frequently based on standardized test re-
6	sults.
7	(17) A reduction in the administration of and
8	preparation for mandated summative assessments
9	would afford more time and resources for other
10	learning opportunities that—
11	(A) incorporate a diverse array of high-
12	quality assessment methods, including formative
13	assessments embedded within instruction, per-
14	formance-based assessment, and methods to as-
15	sess understanding and skill development
16	through project-based learning; and
17	(B) promote deeper learning, positive cog-
18	nitive development, and the skills needed for the
19	21st century workforce.
20	SEC. 3. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO APPROPRIATE
21	USES OF SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENTS.
22	It is the sense of Congress that—
23	(1) to support a love of learning that fosters
24	joy, curiosity, creativity, rigor, collaborative problem
25	solving, and the development of 21st century skills.

1	public elementary and secondary schools need more
2	capacity and resources for meaningful educational
3	experiences;
4	(2) the requirement for States to administer
5	annual standardized tests to receive Federal funds
6	under title I of the Elementary and Secondary Edu-
7	cation Act of 1965 limits classroom instruction, pro-
8	motes memorization over critical thinking, and
9	prioritizes multiple choice tests over balanced assess-
10	ment systems using multiple measures that promote
11	high-quality teaching and learning;
12	(3) a robust body of research demonstrates that
13	the overuse of standardized testing takes a negative
14	toll on students, teachers, and the classroom envi-
15	ronment;
16	(4) States should be supported in developing
17	and implementing innovative, evidence-based, and
18	standards-based assessment systems that support
19	high-quality teaching and learning and the ability to
20	meaningfully measure performance rather than
21	using commercial assessment products that are lim-
22	ited to demonstrating proficiency to a standardized
23	test; and
24	(5) State assessment and accountability sys-
25	tems should—

1	(A) focus on, not hinder, critical thinking;
2	(B) reflect principles of reciprocity, such
3	that identified needs and areas for growth re-
4	sult in the allocation of both resources and ca-
5	pacity building opportunities to support im-
6	provement; and
7	(C) promote equitable opportunities for
8	high-quality teaching and deep learning on a
9	range of academic, socioemotional, and 21st
10	century skills.
11	SEC. 4. DECREASING STANDARDIZED TESTING FREQUENCY
12	FOR STUDENTS IN GRADES 3 THROUGH 12.
13	(a) Expansion of State Educational Assess-
14	MENT OPTIONS.—Section 8101 of the Elementary and
15	Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801) is
16	amended by adding at the end the following:
17	$^{\prime\prime}(53)$ Combination testing.—The term 'com-
18	bination testing' means an educational assessment
19	system in which a State administers grade-span test-
20	ing using—
21	"(A) representative sampling; or
22	"(B) matrix sampling.
23	"(54) Grade-span testing.—The term
24	'grade-span testing' means an educational assess-
25	ment system in which a State administers each of

1	the assessments described in section 1111(b)(2)(A)
2	once during—
3	"(A) grades 3 through 5;
4	"(B) grades 6 through 9; and
5	"(C) grades 10 through 12.
6	"(55) Matrix sampling.—The term 'matrix
7	sampling' means an educational assessment adminis-
8	tration method in which a State—
9	"(A) divides the total number of questions
10	on an educational assessment into groups that
11	are comprised of an equal number of questions;
12	"(B) divides the students enrolled at an el-
13	ementary or secondary school who will receive
14	such educational assessment into groups that
15	are comprised of—
16	"(i) an equal number of students; and
17	"(ii) with respect to each subgroup of
18	students (described in section
19	1111(b)(2)(B)(xi), a number of students
20	belonging to such subgroup that is equal to
21	the proportion of—
22	"(I) the total number of students
23	enrolled at such school belonging to
24	such subgroup; to

1	(Π) the total number of stu-
2	dents enrolled at such school; and
3	"(C) administers such groups of questions
4	to such groups of students in a manner deter-
5	mined by the Secretary to be appropriate.
6	"(56) Representative sampling.—
7	"(A) IN GENERAL.—The term 'representa-
8	tive sampling' means an educational assessment
9	administration method in which a State—
10	"(i) administers, in accordance with
11	subparagraph (B), math, reading or lan-
12	guage arts, and science assessments to a
13	representative sample of students from a
14	sample of public elementary and secondary
15	schools in the State; and
16	"(ii) is able to draw from such sam-
17	pling valid and reliable inferences about
18	the performance of students—
19	"(I) in the State and in local
20	educational agencies served by the
21	State; and
22	"(II) in the elementary and sec-
23	ondary schools selected for such sam-
24	pling.

1	"(B) Sample requirements.—A State
2	shall ensure that—
3	"(i) each assessment described in sub-
4	paragraph (A)(i) is administered to a dif-
5	ferent representative sample of students;
6	and
7	"(ii) the composition of each such rep-
8	resentative sample of students enables re-
9	sults to be disaggregated in accordance
10	with section $1111(b)(2)(B)(xi)$.".
11	(b) State Discretion Concerning the Fre-
12	QUENCY OF STANDARDIZED TESTING.—Section
13	1111(b)(2)(B) of the Elementary and Secondary Edu-
14	cation Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6311(b)(2)(B)) is amend-
15	ed—
16	(1) in clause (i)(II)—
17	(A) by inserting ", in accordance with
18	clause (v)," after "administered"; and
19	(B) by striking "all";
20	(2) in clause (v)—
21	(A) by amending subclause (I) to read as
22	follows:
23	"(I) in the case of mathematics, read-
24	ing or language arts, and science, be ad-
25	ministered using—

1	"(aa) combination testing;
2	"(bb) grade-span testing; or
3	"(cc) representative sampling—
4	"(AA) not more than once
5	in each of grades 3 through 8;
6	and
7	"(BB) at least once in
8	grades 9 through 12; and";
9	(B) by striking subclause (II); and
10	(C) by redesignating subclause (III) as
11	subclause (II); and
12	(3) in clause (vii)(I), by inserting ", as applica-
13	ble" after "students".
14	(c) Statewide Accountability System.—Section
15	1111(c)(4) of the Elementary and Secondary Education
16	Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6311(c)(4)) is amended—
17	(1) in subparagraph (A)(i)(I)(aa), by striking
18	"annual"; and
19	(2) in subclauses (I) and (II) of subparagraph
20	(B)(i), by striking "annual" in each place it appears.

1	SEC. 5. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD REGARDING THE AD-
2	MINISTRATION OF ASSESSMENTS FOR EACH
3	GRADE.
4	Section 1111(b)(2)(L) of the Elementary and Sec-
5	ondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6311(b)(2)(L))
6	is amended—
7	(1) by striking "at the sole discretion of such
8	State" and inserting "following a 90-day public com-
9	ment period inviting input from a broad community
10	of local stakeholders, including educators, principals,
11	other school leaders, parents, and primary care-
12	givers"; and
13	(2) by inserting ", and preparation for," after
14	"administration of".
15	SEC. 6. ESTABLISHING A TASKFORCE TO EVALUATE TEST-
16	ING PRACTICES AND TO PROMOTE QUALITY
17	TEACHING.
18	(a) Establishment.—There is established a
19	taskforce within the National Center for Education Re-
20	search to be known as the "Taskforce to Evaluate Testing
21	Practices and Promote Quality Teaching" (in this section
22	referred to as the "Taskforce").
23	(b) Duties.— The duties of the Taskforce shall be
24	to—
25	(1) identify—

1	(A) the effect standardized test practices
2	have on student wellness, learning, and postsec-
3	ondary education success;
4	(B) opportunities to promote in elementary
5	and secondary schools performance-based as-
6	sessment systems that are developmentally ap-
7	propriate, promote experiential and project-
8	based learning, and build technological literacy
9	skills;
10	(C) potential metrics of educational quality
11	and equity that do not rely on standardized as-
12	sessments, including opportunity-to-learn data;
13	and
14	(D) barriers that prevent elementary and
15	secondary schools from establishing innovative
16	assessment systems; and
17	(2) make recommendations to address such bar-
18	riers.
19	(c) Membership.—
20	(1) In general.—Not later than 1 year after
21	the date of the enactment of this Act, the Commis-
22	sioner for Education Research shall appoint to the
23	Taskforce not fewer than 5, and not more than 10,
24	members.

1	(2) Inclusion of educational assessment
2	EXPERTS.—At least 1 member shall be an individual
3	with expertise in education assessment systems, in-
4	cluding performance-based assessments.
5	(3) Terms.—Each member shall be appointed
6	for a term of 2 years.
7	(4) Basic pay.—Members shall each be paid at
8	a rate equal to the rate of basic pay for level IV of
9	the Executive Schedule.
10	(5) Vacancies.—A vacancy in the Taskforce
11	shall be filled by an appointment of a new member
12	by the Director (as described in subsection (d)) in
13	consultation with—
13 14	consultation with— (A) public elementary school teachers;
14	(A) public elementary school teachers;
14 15	(A) public elementary school teachers;(B) public secondary school teachers;
141516	(A) public elementary school teachers;(B) public secondary school teachers;(C) public school leaders; and
14 15 16 17	(A) public elementary school teachers;(B) public secondary school teachers;(C) public school leaders; and(D) individuals representing organizations
14 15 16 17 18	 (A) public elementary school teachers; (B) public secondary school teachers; (C) public school leaders; and (D) individuals representing organizations with expertise in education policy.
141516171819	 (A) public elementary school teachers; (B) public secondary school teachers; (C) public school leaders; and (D) individuals representing organizations with expertise in education policy. (d) Director of Taskforce.—The Taskforce shall
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 (A) public elementary school teachers; (B) public secondary school teachers; (C) public school leaders; and (D) individuals representing organizations with expertise in education policy. (d) Director of Taskforce.—The Taskforce shall have a Director who shall be appointed by the Commis-
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 (A) public elementary school teachers; (B) public secondary school teachers; (C) public school leaders; and (D) individuals representing organizations with expertise in education policy. (d) Director of Taskforce.—The Taskforce shall have a Director who shall be appointed by the Commissioner, in consultation with—

1	(4) school-based mental health services pro-
2	viders; and
3	(5) individuals representing organizations with
4	expertise in education policy.
5	(e) Report Required.—Not later than 2 years
6	after the date on which the Commissioner completes all
7	appointments to the Taskforce required under subsection
8	(c)(1), the Taskforce shall submit to the appropriate con-
9	gressional committees a report that includes—
10	(1) a review, disaggregated by each State, of
11	assessments administered to students in kinder-
12	garten through grade 12 that includes—
13	(A) a description of each summative as-
14	sessment the State used, including assessments
15	developed pursuant to the innovative assess-
16	ment demonstration authority under section
17	1204 of the Elementary and Secondary Edu-
18	cation Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6364);
19	(B) an estimation of the cost of admin-
20	istering each such assessment;
21	(C) an estimation, for each grade, of the
22	test administration time allocated each aca-
23	demic year for such assessments;
24	(D) an estimation, for each grade, of the
25	classroom instructional time lost each academic

1	year while preparing students for each such as-
2	sessment; and
3	(E) a description of how each State is
4	using data from such assessments, including
5	whether such data is used for punitive pur-
6	poses;
7	(2) an accounting of Federal, State, and local
8	funds paid to for-profit entities for the purpose of
9	designing, developing, administering, and scoring
10	summative assessments;
11	(3) a study of the interaction between statewide
12	summative assessment and accountability systems,
13	as implemented as of the date of the beginning of
14	the report required under this subsection, and the
15	quality of teaching and learning, including—
16	(A) the extent to which statewide
17	summative assessments are aligned to 21st cen-
18	tury skill development;
19	(B) the extent to which such assessment
20	and accountability systems lead to a narrowing
21	of curriculum; and
22	(C) the impact of such assessment and ac-
23	countability systems on the quality of instruc-
24	tion and opportunities for deeper learning
25	across a variety of subjects and skills;

1	(4) a description of barriers that prevent
2	schools from establishing and implementing innova-
3	tive assessment systems that promote performance-
4	based assessment;
5	(5) recommendations to overcome such barriers;
6	and
7	(6) recommendations for innovative assessment
8	systems that—
9	(A) are created in partnership with teach-
10	ers and other educators;
11	(B) empower teachers;
12	(C) reduce elementary and secondary
13	school reliance upon summative assessment
14	tools from for-profit third-party vendors; and
15	(D) create a framework for designing a
16	balanced assessment system that supports equi-
17	table opportunities for learning and centers stu-
18	dents' needs.
19	(f) Expiration of Taskforce.—The Taskforce
20	shall expire on the date that is 30 days after the date
21	of the submission of the report required under subsection
22	(d).
23	(c) DEFINITIONS—In this section:

1	(1) Appropriate congressional commit-
2	TEES.—The term "appropriate congressional com-
3	mittees" means—
4	(A) the Committees on Appropriations of
5	the Senate and House of Representatives;
6	(B) the Committee on Health, Education,
7	Labor, and Pensions of the Senate; and
8	(C) the Committee on Education and
9	Labor of the House of Representatives.
10	(2) ESEA TERMS.—
11	(A) The terms "elementary school",
12	"school leader", "secondary school", and
13	"State" have the meanings given such terms in
14	section 8101 of the Elementary and Secondary
15	Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801).
16	(B) The term "innovative assessment sys-
17	tem" has the meaning given such term in sec-
18	tion 1204(a) of the Elementary and Secondary
19	Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6364(a)).
20	(C) The term "school-based mental health
21	services provider" has the meaning given such
22	term in section 4102 of the Elementary and
23	Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C.
24	7112).

1	SEC. 7. EXPANSION OF INNOVATIVE ASSESSMENT DEM-
2	ONSTRATION AUTHORITY.
3	(a) Expansion of Authority.—Section 1204 of
4	the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 is
5	amended—
6	(1) in subsection (a)(1), by striking "an an-
7	nual" and inserting "a";
8	(2) in subsection (b)—
9	(A) in paragraph (2), by striking "5
10	years" and inserting "7 years"; and
11	(B) in paragraph (3)—
12	(i) in the matter preceding subpara-
13	graph (A), by striking "3 years" and in-
14	serting "5 years"; and
15	(ii) in subparagraph (A), by striking
16	"a total number of not more than 7 par-
17	ticipating State educational agencies" and
18	inserting "a total number of not fewer
19	than 20 participating State educational
20	agencies";
21	(3) in subsection $(e)(2)(A)$ —
22	(A) in clause (x)—
23	(i) by striking "an annual," and in-
24	serting "a";

1	(ii) by inserting "assessed in a given
2	subject in a given year" after "each indi-
3	vidual student"; and
4	(iii) by striking "and" at the end;
5	(B) in clause (xi)(II), by striking the pe-
6	riod at the end and inserting "; and"; and
7	(C) by adding at the end the following:
8	"(xii) be of equal or greater rigor to
9	the State assessments under section
10	1111(b)(2).";
11	(4) in subsection $(f)(1)(B)(i)$, by striking "com-
12	parable to the State assessments" and inserting "de-
13	termined by the Secretary to be of equal or greater
14	rigor to the State assessments";
15	(5) in subsection (i)(3), by striking "com-
16	parable to measures of academic achievement" and
17	inserting "determined by the Secretary to be of
18	equal or greater rigor to measures of academic
19	achievement"; and
20	(6) in subsection (m)(1)(A)(ii), by striking
21	"comparable with statewide assessments" and in-
22	serting "determined by the Secretary to be of equal
23	or greater rigor to statewide assessments".
24	(b) Authorization of Appropriations.—There
25	are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Edu-

1	cation \$100,000,000 for the fiscal year in which the date
2	of the enactment of this Act occurs, and for each of the
3	4 succeeding fiscal years, to carry out section 1204 of the
4	Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20
5	U.S.C. 6364).
6	SEC. 8. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR LOCAL
7	EDUCATIONAL AGENCY GRANTS.
8	Section 1002(a) of the Elementary and Secondary
9	Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6302(a)) is amended
10	to read as follows:
11	"(a) LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY GRANTS.—There
12	are authorized to be appropriated \$66,000,000,000 for the
13	fiscal year in which the date of the enactment of the More
14	Teaching Less Testing Act of 2023 occurs, and for each
15	of the 9 succeeding fiscal years, to carry out the activities
16	described in part A.".
17	SEC. 9. PROHIBITION ON USE OF ESEA FUNDS FOR CER-
18	TAIN PURPOSES.
19	A State receiving funds under the Elementary and
20	Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6301 et
21	seq.) may not—
22	(1) consider the summative assessments re-
23	quired under section 1111(b)(2) of such Act as a
24	sole or dominant factor when—
25	(A) implementing grade-retention policies;

1	(B) awarding a student a high school di-
2	ploma; or
3	(C) evaluating the performance of teachers
4	or administrators;
5	(2) establish a rating system for elementary
6	schools or local educational agencies that serve such
7	elementary schools based exclusively on the
8	summative assessment scores of students who attend
9	such elementary schools; or
10	(3) establish a rating system for secondary
11	schools or local educational agencies that serve such
12	secondary schools based exclusively on—
13	(A) the summative assessment scores of
14	students that attend such secondary schools; or
15	(B) the graduation rates of students that
16	attend such secondary schools.